

Response to Deadline 2 Submission - 9.53 Comments on WRs Appendix H - Local Residents [\[REP2-053\]](#)

Unique Reference: LTC-AP546

I am very disappointed in respect to the response National Highways have given us in regard to our worries about our property and well being during the proposed construction period, our normal everyday life will be severely impacted and it doesn't bear thinking about. It would have been very helpful if they had been addressed in some form instead of cherry picking their questions from my written representation and being directed to hundreds of pages of documentation which is very frustrating and difficult to find.

WR link: [REP1-382](#) and Summary Of Open Floor Hearing 2: [REP1-383](#)

Response to Concerns that the Project is in effect a Smart Motorway

The information from National Highways does not address my concerns and does nothing to reassure me and give me confidence.

Response to Safety of the tunnels

The information from National Highways provided yet again does not respond directly to the concerns raised. There is no mention of provisions for disabled road users, or procedures for fires in the tunnel.

Response to Capacity of hospitals to cope with accidents from the Project and Response to Response times from Orsett Fire Station to the Project

The information from National Highways provided no information that gives me any confidence that a proposed new road would not put extra pressure on our NHS and the Local Authorities due to the added accidents. It is also obvious that the emergency service steering groups also still have concerns.

Response to Suitability of the Applicant's traffic modelling

The information supplied just reiterates the points I made and does not address my concerns.

Response to Concerns over flooding, including the potential for the Mardyke viaduct and other Project structures to increase the potential or scale of flooding, leading to impacts on the Interested Party's property.

National Highways summary of what I said in my Written Representation on flooding is not even accurate. My comments on flooding did not relate directly to my property at all, but the Mardyke flooding impacts on the whole local community. I did not mention my property specifically at all. Their comments again have done nothing to reassure me or give me any confidence. If anything my concerns regarding flooding to my property would come because of the proposed green bridge in North Road.

Response to Concern that the safety of HGVs using the Mardyke viaduct may be affected by bad weather, including high winds and fog

National Highways have yet again failed to address my concerns. As a local I know that the Mardyke valley suffers from high winds. Historically that is why there were windmills! I am also more than aware of how bad the fog can be across the fens. Nothing I am reading is reassuring me that National Highways have adequately considered these impacts and risks.

Response to Concern over a lack of hedgerow on the B186 North Road green bridge, to the detriment of wildlife connectivity.

National Highways direct me to APP-146 which amongst the many pages appears to just include the info that National Highways have copied and pasted in their response to me and nothing else. As for Sheets 5 and 6 of APP- 166 appear to be quite technical and I still can't tell what if any access we would be able to get to cross the bridge. There is also nothing to address my concern that there is no proven mitigation for bats in regard to new roads.

Response to Concerns over the potential for 24-hour working patterns for the construction of bridge structures close to the Interested Party's property

In response to this I feel my concerns will be better put forward in my upcoming response at the Compulsory Acquisition Hearing.

Response to Reported mistakes in consultation maps and plans

Whilst the bar seems low for adequacy of consultation, it is very apparent that National Highways are still continuing with bad mistakes and a lack of meaningful engagement.

Additional Comments

I would appreciate National Highways actually responding to the missed points, such as addressing my concerns about the UXO by the next deadline please.